### **Foreword**

#### Barry Boehm

When the software field was growing up, the software being developed dealt mainly with relatively stable applications. These involved relatively stable business and scientific applications, and software involved in controlling relatively stable hardware devices. As experiences in defining requirements for hardware devices found that design solutions would often become requirements and overconstrain the solution space, the software field followed the hardware field in postponing the design until the requirements were completely and consistently defined. This led to the dominance of the sequential, top-down, requirements-first, reductionist waterfall approach used to define, develop, and manage software projects.

One of my jobs at TRW in 1976-77 was to lead a project to formalize this approach into a set of corporate software development policies and standards. These were inculcated in the company via training materials, courses, and a 40-question equivalent of the California drivers-license test that TRW software developers needed to pass. We also highlighted this material in a public relations campaign to show our mastery of software development and management.

This worked very well for a while, but by the early 1980's the assumption of stable, predetermined requirements began to lose its validity. In particular, graphic-user-interactive (GUI) terminals began to become economically viable. Users much preferred this way of operating, but our requirements engineers found that (1) it was hard to specify graphic layouts in requirement documents, and (2) it was hard to get users to define how they wanted to interact. We encountered the IKIWISI syndrome: "I can't tell you how I want it, but I'll know it when I see it."

Our more creative software engineers began to develop rapid-prototyping capabilities that potential customers found very helpful in resolving IKIWISI requirements. However, when we tried to emphasize rapid prototyping in competitive procurements, we found that we had so thoroughly brainwashed many of our senior software engineers that they would pound on the table and say, "You can't do that! It's programming before we've defined the requirements, and it violates our policies!" Further, we found that several government agencies had adopted and adapted our policies and standards as their way of doing business. And if undoing corporate policies was difficult, undoing government policies and standards was virtually impossible.

Since then, further trends have made the sequential, reductionist approach less and less viable. Requirements have become more emergent with system use. With COTS products and cloud services, their capabilities drive the system requirements rather than prespecified requirements. Time-to-market pressures and rapidly evolving products such as cell phones have made sequential definition and development processes uncompetitive in the marketplace, along with increasingly rapid changes in technology, organizations, and user preferences. Yet, many organizations cling to

the sequential, reductionist approach as a security blanket. Increasingly, they take several years to deliver a system, and then find that its technology is obsolete and that its users' needs have become much different.

Thus, the appearance of this book, Software Project Management in a Changing World, is very timely. It focuses on how people and organizations can make their processes more change-adaptive. It is good in emphasizing in its chapters on cost estimation and risk/opportunity management that unpredictable change requires probabilistic approaches, using range vs. point estimates, late-binding of product-content decisions, and evolutionary development. It has good guidance on agile project management, using principles such as minimum-critical specifications, autonomous teams, skills redundancy, and use of feedback and post-release reflection.

The book is also strong on quality management and on balancing lightweight agile methods with the use of empirical methods, using Goal-Question-Metric and Experience Factory-type approaches to management and use of project knowledge. Its chapters on global project management and global team motivation are strong on identifying and employing knowledge on personnel motivation, and on the importance of investments in team-building and trust, although the chapter on human resource allocation focuses more on algorithmic methods of project staffing.

The strong emphasis on how to make software processes more change-adaptive could have done more on how to make software products more change-adaptive. A good example is the approach in David Parnas' paper on Designing Software for Ease of Extension and Contraction. This involves identifying sources of change and encapsulating them into modules, so that change effects are largely confined to individual modules, rather than rippling through the rest of the product. This also involves identifying evolution requirements as well as current-snapshot requirements for the initial product. Other good product-adaptive approaches include open interface standards, use of design patterns and generics, judicious selection of COTS products that are change-adaptive without destabilizing their users, and emphasizing simplicity via Occam's Razor or Einstein's guidance, "Everything should be as simple as possible, but no simpler."

That said, the book is also strong in identifying sources of change in software technology and their implications for software management. These include big-data and search technology that can enhance project knowledge; and social-media technology that can enable better multi-discipline and distributed-stakeholder collaboration in software requirements negotiation, change handling, and concurrence at decision gates. Also, improved process simulation technology can be used to better understand the likely effects of alternative project decisions, and to determine the domains of applicability of various software "laws," such as Brooks' Law: Adding people to a late software project will make it later (not always true if foreseen and done early). It is also strong in identifying alternative software development methods and their management differences, such as open source, inner-source, distributed and global software development, and agile methods.

Overall, I found the book to be a pleasure to read and a valuable source of guidance on how to cope with the proliferating sources of change we all will face in the future. I hope that you will benefit from it in similar ways.

### **Author Biography**

**Barry Boehm** is the TRW Professor in the USC Computer Sciences, Industrial and Systems Engineering, and Astronautics Departments. He is also the Director of Research of the DoD-Stevens-USC Systems Engineering Research Center, and the founding Director of the USC Center for Systems and Software Engineering. He was director of DARPA-ISTO 1989-92, at TRW 1973-89, at Rand Corporation 1959-73, and at General Dynamics 1955-59. His contributions include the COCO-MO family of cost models and the Spiral family of process models. He is a Fellow of the primary professional societies in computing (ACM), aerospace (AIAA), electronics (IEEE), and systems engineering (INCOSE), and a member of the U.S. National Academy of Engineering.

### VIII Acknowledgments

## Acknowledgments

Editing a book is a major undertaking; it may sound like it is much less work than authoring your own book. And maybe it is less work, but foremost it is different. It requires a lot of coordination and hence editors become highly dependent on the contributors, reviewers and others providing support. This book is no different.

We would like to express our gratitude to all authors contributing with their expertise to the chapters and being responsive to our comments, enquiries and requests. We are grateful to all reviewers helping us to further improve the content of the book. Maleknaz Nayebi was of tremendous support in preparing supplementary literature studies. We would also like to express our gratitude to the Springer team for their support and in particular to Ralf Gerstner for his guidance and valuable input on practical matters.

We are grateful to Professor Barry Boehm for agreeing to write the foreword, and sharing his long experience with us. He provides his personal perspective on the evolution of software development as a whole, and software project management in particular.

Last but not least, we would like to express our sincere thanks to Kornelia Streb whose input to the editing of the book has been a prerequisite to manage the progress and allowed us to focus on the content.

February 2014

Günther Ruhe and Claes Wohlin

# Contents

List of ContributorsXV		
1	Software Project Management: Setting the Context	1
	Günther Ruhe and Claes Wohlin	
	1.1 Motivation	1
	1.2 Characteristics of Software Projects and why Software Project Management is Difficult	
	1.3 Ten Knowledge Areas of Software Project Management	5
	1.4 The Book's Coverage of the PMBOK Knowledge Areas	
	1.5 The Multi-disciplinary Nature of Project Management	
	1.6 The Future of Software Engineering	20
	1.7 Software Project Management - Past and Future	22
	1.8 This Book	23
	Part I — Fundamentals	
	Rethinking Success in Software Projects: Looking Be	-
-	Darren Dalcher	
	2.1 The Extent of Software Project Failures	29
	2.2 Beyond Simple Success Measures	
	2.3 Rethinking Project Success	36
	2.4 Towards Multiple Levels of Success	
	2.5 Mapping Success	
	2.6 Illustrative Examples	
	2.7 The Impact of Time	
	2.8 Measuring Success	
	2.9 Conclusions	49

# X Contents

3	Cost Prediction and Software Project Management	53
	Martin Shepperd	
	3.1 Introduction	53
	3.2 A Review of State of the Art Techniques	54
	3.3 A Review of Cost Estimation Research.	
	3.4 The Interaction between People and Formal Techniques	
	3.5 Practical Recommendations	
	3.6 Follow up Sources of Information	67
	Human Resource Allocation and Scheduling for Software	75
Г	roject Management	/5
	4.1 Introduction	75
	4.2 Human Resource Allocation and Scheduling Approaches	
	4.3 The Implication of Software Development Personality Types	
	4.4 Further Research Trends and Challenges	
	4.5 Concluding Remarks	102
5	Software Project Risk and Opportunity Management	. 109
	Barry Boehm	
	5.1 Introduction	109
	5.2 The Duality of Risks and Opportunities	
	5.3 Fundamentals of Risk-Opportunity Management	111
	5.4 Risk and Opportunity Management Methods, Processes, and Tools	
	5.5 Top-10 Risk Item Tracking	
	5.6 Risk-Balanced Activity Levels	
	5.7 Summary and Conclusions	121
P	art II — Supporting Areas	. 125
_		
	Model-based Quality Management of Software Developmerojects	
-	Jens Heidrich, Dieter Rombach and Michael Kläs	, . <i></i> /
	6.1 Introduction	127
	6.2 Selecting the Right Quality Models	
	6.3 Building Custom-tailored Quality Models	
	6.4 Specification and Application of Quality Models	

	Contents	XI
	6.5 Strategic Usage of Quality Models 6.6 Conclusions and Future Work	
	Supporting Project Management through Integrated Ianagement of System and Project Knowledge	161
	Barbara Paech, Alexander Delater and Tom-Michael Hesse	
	7.1 Introduction	161
	7.2 Our Vision: Integrated System and Project Knowledge Management	
	7.3 Literature Review	
	7.4 Integrating System and Project Knowledge Using Work Items	172
	7.5 Integrating System and Project Knowledge Using Decisions	180
	7.6 Research Issues on Integrating System and	
	Project Knowledge	
	7.7 Conclusions and Outlook	193
Q	A Framework for Implementing Product Portfolio	
	Ianagement in Software Business	199
	Erik Jagroep, Inge van de Weerd, Sjaak Brinkkemper and Ton Dobbe	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100
	8.1 Introduction	
	<ul><li>8.2 Research Approach</li><li>8.3 Theory-building Case Study and Evaluation</li></ul>	
	8.4 Software Product Portfolio Management Implementation Frameworl	
	8.5 Maturity Matrix for PPM	
	8.6 Theory-testing Case Study	
	8.7 Implications	
	8.8 Conclusions and Future Research	
9	Managing Global Software Projects	229
	Christof Ebert	
	9.1 Introduction	229
	9.2 Foundations	
	9.3 Benefits and Challenges	232
	9.4 Global Software Development	
	9.5 Work Organization	
	9.6 Risk Management in Global Software Projects	
	9.7 Trends and Conclusions	248

# XII Contents

10 Motivating Software Engineers Working in	Virtual Teams
across the Globe	255
Sarah Beecham	
10.1 Introduction	255
10.2 Motivation Theory	257
10.3 Software Engineer Characteristics	261
10.4 Software Engineer Motivation in GSD - A Case Stu	dy264
10.5 Motivation Factors and GSD Guidelines	
10.6 Theory and Practice of GSD Motivation	272
10.7 Summary and Conclusions	277
Part III — New Paradigms	283
11 Agile Project Management	285
Tore Dybå, Torgeir Dingsøyr and Nils Brede Moe	
11.1 Introduction	285
11.2 Software Project Management	
11.3 Self-managing Software Teams	
11.4 Team Leadership	
11.5 Feedback and Learning	
11.6 Principles of Agile Project Management	
11.7 Conclusions	303
12 Distributed Project Management	309
Darja Šmite	
12.1 Introduction	309
12.2 Ten Misconceptions in Distributed Software Develo	
12.3 Conclusions	-
13 Management and Coordination of Free/	
Open Source Projects	331
Ioannis Stamelos	
13.1 Introduction	331
13.2 F/OSS Management	
13.3 Current Challenges in F/OSS Management	
13.4 Future Open Source Management Techniques	
13.5 Conclusions	348

14 Inner Source Project Management	353
Martin Höst, Klaas-Jan Stol and Alma Oručević-Alagić	
14.1 Introduction	355
14.3 A Framework for Understanding Project Management in Inne	
14.4 Case Studies	
Part IV — Emerging Techniques	379
15 Search-based Software Project Management	381
Filomena Ferrucci, Mark Harman and Federica Sarro	
15.1 Introduction	381
15.2 Search-based Software Engineering	
15.3 Search-based Software Project Management	
15.4 Possible Directions for Future Work on Search-based	
Project Management	
15.5 Conclusions	399
16 Social Media Collaboration in Software Projects	409
Rachel Harrison and Varsha Veerappa	
16.1 Introduction	409
16.2 Interactions in Software Projects	
16.3 Social Aspects of Software Projects	
16.4 Importance of Social Media in Software Projects	
16.5 Pilot Study	
16.6 The Future of Social Media in Software Projects	
16.7 Summary and Conclusions	428
17 Process Simulation – A Tool for Software Project	
Managers?	433
Dietmar Pfahl	
17.1 Purpose and Scope of Software Process Simulation	433
17.2 An Illustrative Application Example	
17.3 The Gap Between State-of-Art and State-of-Practice	
17.4 Issues that Need to be Addressed	
17.5 Conclusions	452

# XIV Contents

Tim I	Menzies	
18.1	Introduction	457
18.2	Occam's Razor and Project Management	460
18.3	Speculation-Based Modeling (is Difficult)	462
18.4	Support-Based Modeling (can be Simplified with Data Mining)	464
18.5	Spectral Learning and Project Management	471
18.6	General Applications to Project Management	478
18.7	Discussion	479

### **List of Contributors**

#### Andreas S. Andreou

Department of Electrical Engineering, Computer Engineering and Informatics Cyprus University of Technology Lemesos, Cyprus Email: andreas.andreou@cut.ac.cy

### Sarah Beecham

Department of Computer Science & Information Systems Lero – The Irish Software Engineering Centre University of Limerick

University of Limerick Limerick, Ireland

Email: sarah.beecham@lero.ie

### **Barry Boehm**

University of Southern California Los Angeles, USA

Email: barryboehm@gmail.com

### Sjaak Brinkkemper

Department of Information and Computing Sciences Utrecht University Utrecht, The Netherlands Email: s.brinkkemper@cs.uu.nl

### **Darren Dalcher**

National Centre for Project Management University of Hertfordshire Hatfield, United Kingdom Email: d.dalcher2@herts.ac.uk

### **Alexander Delater**

Institute of Computer Science University of Heidelberg Heidelberg, Germany Email: delater@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de

#### Torgeir Dingsøyr

**SINTEF** 

Trondheim, Norway

Email: dingsoyr@idi.ntnu.no

#### **Ton Dobbe**

UNIT4

Sliedrecht, The Netherlands Email: Ton.Dobbe@unit4.com

#### Tore Dybå

SINTEF

Trondheim, Norway Email: tore.dyba@sintef.no

#### **Christof Ebert**

Vector Consulting Services GmbH Ingersheimer Strasse 24 70499 Stuttgart, Germany Email: christof.ebert@vector.com

#### Filomena Ferrucci

**DISTRA** 

University of Salerno Salerno, Italy

Email: fferrucci@unisa.it

### Mark Harman

Software Systems Engineering Group Department of Computer Science University College London London, United Kingdom Email: mark.harman@ucl.ac.uk

#### **Rachel Harrison**

Computing and Communication Technologies
Oxford Brookes University
Oxford, United Kingdom
Email: Rachel.Harrison@brookes.ac.uk

### XVI List of Contributors

#### Jens Heidrich

Fraunhofer IESE Kaiserslautern, Germany Email: jens.heidrich@iese.fraunhofer.

#### **Tom-Michael Hesse**

Institute of Computer Science University of Heidelberg Heidelberg, Germany Email: hesse@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de

#### Martin Höst

Department of Computer Science Lund University Lund, Sweden Email: martin.host@cs.Ith.se

#### Erik Jagroep

Department of Information and Computing Sciences Utrecht University Utrecht, The Netherlands Email: e.a.jagroep@uu.nl

#### Michael Kläs

Fraunhofer IESE Kaiserslautern, Germany Email: Michael.Klaes@iese.fraunhofer. de

#### **Tim Menzies**

Lane Department of Computer Science & Electrical Engineering
West Virginia University
Morgantown, West Virginia
Email: tim.menzies@gmail.com

### Nils Brede Moe

SINTEF

Trondheim, Norway Email: nilsm@sintef.no

#### Alma Oručević-Alagić

Computer Science Lund University Lund, Sweden

Email: alma.orucevic-alagic@cs.lth.se

#### Barbara Paech

Institute of Computer Science University of Heidelberg Heidelberg, Germany Email: paech@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de

#### **Dietmar Pfahl**

Institute of Computer Science University of Tartu Tartu, Estonia Email: dietmar.pfahl@ut.ee

#### **Dieter Rombach**

Technische Universität Kaiserslautern Kaiserslautern, Germany Email: rombach@informatik.uni-kl.de

#### Günther Ruhe

Department of Computer Science & Electrical Engineering University of Calgary Calgary, Alberta, Canada Email: ruhe@ucalgary.ca

#### Federica Sarro

Department of Computer Science University College London London, United Kingdom Email: f.sarro@ucl.ac.uk

### **Martin Shepperd**

Information Systems and Computing Brunel University Uxbridge, United Kingdom Email: martin.shepperd@brunel.ac.uk

#### List of Contributors XVII

### Darja Smite

Blekinge Institute of Technology Karlskrona, Sweden Email: darja.smite@bth.se

#### **Ioannis Stamelos**

Department of Informatics Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Thessaloniki, Greece Email: stamelos@csd.auth.gr

### Klaas-Jan Stol

Lero, the Irish Software Engineering Research Centre University of Limerick

Email: klaas-jan.stol@lero.ie

### Constantinos Stylianou

Department of Computer Science University of Cyprus Lefkosia, Cyprus

Email: cstylianou@cs.ucy.ac.cy

### Inge Van de Weerd

Department of Information, Logistics and Innovation VU University Amsterdam Amsterdam, The Netherlands Email: i.vande.weerd@vu.nl

### Varsha Veerappa

Department of Computing and Communication Technologies Oxford Brookes University Oxford, United Kingdom Email: vveerappa@brookes.ac.uk

#### **Claes Wohlin**

Blekinge Institute of Technology Karlskrona, Sweden Email: claes.wohlin@bth.se